

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,452	12/15/2003	Conrad S. Mikulec	CM04	1451
27797	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
RICHARD D. FUERLE 1711 W. RIVER RD.			BARNEY, SETH E	
GRAND ISLAND, NY 14072			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		· 3752	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/707,452	MIKULEC, CONRAD S.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Seth Barney	3752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	ne 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.	· alaatian waxaalaan						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/9/05</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		•					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	•	eu in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on June 9, 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 17, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,979,572 to Mikulec.

Regarding claim 1 and 17, Mikulec discloses a fire extinguishing actuator having:

- -an elongate body made of single piece (not expressly numbered). See Figure 3.
- -a longitudinal chamber that extends through the body, for holding a ram (101) and a spring (108) for propelling the ram. See Figure 3.
- -a first transverse aperture that joins the chamber for holding a trigger (37) that releases the spring. See Figure 3.
- -a second transverse aperture that joins the chamber at about a right angle for holding a member that moves in response to movement of the ram, where movement of the member activates the release of the composition from the cylinder. See Figure 3 and column 3 lines 41 to 56.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,452

Art Unit: 3752

Regarding claim 2, a microswitch (124) is attached to one end of the chamber. See Figure 3.

Regarding claim 3, a cable (140) that slides in a sheath (126) and is activated by the ram is attached at one end of the chamber. See Figures 2 and 3.

Regarding claim 4, there is a microswitch or cable on both sides of the chamber in the same way the instant application has a microswitch on both sides of the chamber.

Regarding claim 5, a fusible link (36) is attached between the trigger and on end of the body. See Figure 1.

Regarding claim 6, the member is a plunger (41) that pierces a seal on the cylinder. See Figure 3.

Regarding claim 8, both apertures are circular in cross section. See Figures 2 and 3.

Regarding claim 9, the actuator includes a ram (101), a compressed spring (108) within the longitudinal chamber, a trigger (37) within the first aperture, and a member (41) within a second aperture.

Regarding claims 14 and 18, the system is a fire extinguisher.

Regarding claims 15 and 19, the fire extinguisher is mounted in a hood. See Figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3752

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 10-13, 16, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,979,572 to Mikulec.

Mikulec discloses all of the limitations set forth in the claims except for the main body is an extruded piece of metal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to extrude the elongated body part in order to easily and cheaply produce a long profile piece.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. While the examiner concedes that there are multiple pieces combined in U.S. Patent No. 4,979,572 to Mikulec, there is in fact an elongated body made of single piece containing all of the structure of the claims. Therefore the rejections of claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 18, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 10-13, 20, and 21 under U.S.C. 103(a) are maintained.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seth Barney whose telephone number is (571)272-4896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571)272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seth Barney Examiner Art Unit 3752

sb

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700